

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FRANK KAATZ,

Petitioner, No. 2:12-cv-2050 JFM (HC)

vs.

CALIFORNIA SUPREME COURT,

Respondent. ORDER

/

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner has neither paid the filing fee for this action nor filed an application to proceed in forma pauperis.

The application attacks a conviction issued by the Los Angeles County Superior Court. While both this Court and the United States District Court in the district where petitioner was convicted have jurisdiction, see Braden v. 30th Judicial Circuit Court, 410 U.S. 484 (1973), any and all witnesses and evidence necessary for the resolution of petitioner's application are more readily available in Los Angeles County. Id. at 499 n.15; 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d).

////

////

////

1 Accordingly, in the furtherance of justice, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this
2 action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Central District of California.
3 DATED: August 20, 2012.

4 
5 **UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**
6

7 12/mp
8 kaat2050.108

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26